FINAL EUROPEAN CONFERENCE **Towards Forest Management in line with the Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity** 16-18 February 2022





Climate credits a new tool to enhance forests' contribution to EU mitigation goals through multifunctional forest management



Teresa Baiges Zapater Centre de la Propietat Forestal

Consiglio

Ricerche

Nazionale de le



Generalitat de Catalurw

Departament d'Agricultura,

Ramaderia, Pesca i Alimentació

Centre de la Propietat Forestal





CTFC

Planting trees is not always the solution

GoProFor



78% private forests

In the last 25 years in Catalonia:

FOREStime

OCCC-CREAF (2020)

Rate of CO₂ sequestration has dropped by 17%

Carbon stocks are threatened by natural disturbances

Water flow has been reduced by 30%

Low maturity and loss of biodiversity linked to bushes and open areas



Population trends in scrubland species



Forest maturity in Catalonia



State of conservation of forest species and habitats in Catalonia (2013-2018)



Sustainable forest management could increase forests sink capacity by up to 20% (Nabuurs et al. 2017)

Protecting carbon stocks

ADAPTATION

Substitution effect

BIOECONOMY

Increasing C sink capacity capacity

MITIGATION

In young forests actions that reduce tree density favors maturation and reduce the risk of fire. New open spaces in the forest created by these actions often allow forest species to enter. (Ameztegui et al. 2017)

In ecohydrological forest management strategies such as canopy opening through thinning, pruning and species selection are regarded as being effective in combating w while in

> Climate-smart forestry

Our project: A voluntary market of climate credits



Towards Forest Management in line with the Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity *16-18 February 2022*



Climate Credit : valuation, at the same level of the co-benefits of Multifunctional Forest Management on Carbon, Water and biodiversity







Best practices in relation to biodiversity included in the «Climate credit» scheme:

Landscape level - Governance

Participatory setting of priority criteria and selection of the sites

- Forest management: Obligation to apply integrative forest management which includes:
- Diagnosis IBP in the preliminary forest inventory
- Tree marking in thinnings in private land formation to forest workers
- Retention forestry criteria (to diffrent silvicultura approaches)
- Inclusion of biodiversity value in the economic budget:
- Estimation of the impact of forest management in biodiversity
- Inclusion of the value of biodiversity conservation in the budget
- Official and transparent registration data collection



An example: Pilot project in the Collserola Natural Park (Barcelona)

Parc de Collserola

8.400 ha

AMB Årea Metropo de Barcelona

Diputació Barcelona

PROMACC CC Mitigation and Adaptation Project (2021-2023)

Facilitators/Promoters: 2 Local forest working cooperatives (BOSCAT + FOREST4)

Priority criteria for the selection of sites:

- Prevention of large fires and fires at the urban-forest interface
- Synergies with existing agricultural and forest management initiatives
- Forests with a technical plan for forest management
- Of interest for raising awareness and promoting the concept of climate credit

1) Proposal for the execution of forestry actions in 40 hectares, distributed in 5 forests



TREATMENTS	Priority sites / Characteritics
1. Tree thinning:	Dense adult forests (pine and holm oak)
Competition	with lower current growth than seasonal
regulation/	quality.
Selective cutting /	
Dehesa-type forest	Strategic Management Points (PEGs) or
	high emission risk areas
2. Partial selective	Regenerated post-perturbation with
bush removal	high accumulation of biomass not
	managed since the perturbation
3 Integration	Fostering structural complexity
criteria for	Conservation of old trees large or with
biodiversity	unique elements (MH)
conservation	
	Generation of large dead wood standing
	and on the ground, in order to favor the
	saproxylic organisms
	Treatments that promote the presence
	of flower species, to favor butterflies
	and pollinators

IBP: 15 out of 35 (43 %)

Treatments proposed

- Coppice thinning of *Quercus. ilex*
- Reduction of 30 % basal area *P. halepensis*
- Selective removal of bushes leaving around
 30 % cover
- Retention of key habitats for biodiversity:
- marked trees with MH,
- Trees with diam. > 30
- Dead trunks with dia. > 25 cm
- Generation of key habitats for biodiversity:
- all pines will be left on site,
- When cutting, leave high (1m) trunk stems (1-3/ha)
- Open áreas closed to tracks

FOREST MANAGEMENT SCENARIO vs NO MANAGEMENT (BAU)

The PROMACC project for Collserola generates:

1.500 additional tCO₂ sequestered/avoided,
18.000 m³ of blue water released
27 % improvement in biodiversity hosting capacity.

IBP (before): **15** (43 %)

Estimation IBP after treatment:

20

(20 - 15) /15 = 33 % improvement

Treatments proposed

- Coppice thinning of *Quercus. ilex*
- Reduction of 30 % basal area P. halepensis
- Selective removal of bushes leaving around
 30 % cover
- Retention of key habitats for biodiversity:
 All pines with diam. > 30
 d trees with MH
 - Deac od with dia. > 20 cm
- Generation of key habitats for biodimentity:

les will be left on site,

- when cutting, leave high (1m) trunk stems (1-3/ha)
- Open áreas closed to tracks

3) Complementary awareness-raising actions by differents stakeholders

- **Project awareness campaign** (Press and social media, conferences, installation of information panels, ...)
- **Personalized teambuilding / open house actions,** with the staff of the financing entities
- **Others:** Collaboration with volunteer associations, local firewood supply with climate credit label,

Lessons learned

- IBP factors works very good for pedagogy and as a starting point for in-site management discussions, and to orientate management criteria
- When there this additionality is valued and payed, forests owners are in favour of integrative management
- Companies and other local public and private entities are willing to invest in forest management for climate change for different reasons, providing minimum garanntees are assured
- Good for involving other society segments in forest conservation & management and to start discussions on the new policies to come
- Difficulties in putting «the right price» to the provision of services (provision costs? Social value?)
- Integrity criteria to avoid «greenwashing»

LIFE CLIMARK (LIFE16 CCM/ES/000065) has been funded by the Climate mitigation Action of the EU LIFE Program

Thank you !

tbaiges@gencat.cat Teresa Baiges

Towards Forest Management in line with the Protection and Conservation of Biodiversity *16-18 February 2022*

